

More on Building 7...



“They have simply blown away columns...A team of experts did this ... This is controlled demolition.”

Danny Jowenko, Controlled Demolition Company Owner — with 27 years experience in the industry — interviewed on Dutch TV.

The 9/11 Commission Report in 2004 completely omitted Building 7. In 2003, FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) after describing a series of events that might have led to the collapse admit this hypothesis has “only a low probability of occurrence.”

Leaseholder of WTC7, Larry Silverstein, in a PBS Documentary *America Rebuilds* states (referring to his conversation with the fire dept on the afternoon of 9/11) — “we’ve had such terrible loss of life, maybe the smartest thing to do is pull it. And they made that decision to pull and we watched the building collapse”.

The term “pull it” is used in the controlled demolition industry to refer to the bringing down of a building using carefully placed explosives.

The building was occupied by many government agencies such as the CIA and the IRS, Securities and Exchange Commission as well housing as a multimillion dollar command centre that had been vacated in favour of another location earlier that day.

Numerous eyewitnesses reported hearing loud explosions before and during the collapse with some having seen a “shockwave ripple through the building. Videos exist of fire-fighters declaring in advance that “the building is coming down”.

From 1999-2002 President Bush’s cousin Wirt Walker III was the CEO of the company that helped provide security to the World Trade Center. Also, from 1993-2000, during which a new security system was installed, the president’s brother Marvin was one of the company’s directors

To date no-one has yet managed to explain WTC 7’s sudden, symmetrical, free fall without attributing it to the work of controlled demolition. The fact that this building has eleven features of a controlled demolition should surely be obvious to NIST (National Institute for Standards and Technology) who have yet again delayed their findings regarding this building. NIST have previously issued 2 separate documents which are both misleading and overwhelmingly inadequate.