

The 9/11 Omission Report

Why, when there are 503 FDNY (Fire Department of New York) oral testimonies made available, does the **9/11 Commission ignore ALL** of the **118 reports of explosions**.



Given that this commonly shared observation — as well as masses of other evidence — by **many extremely reliable witnesses helps explain the 3 free-fall collapses on that day (especially WTC7 that wasn't hit by an aircraft and had minimal fires)** shouldn't it be of the utmost concern to the investigators and 9/11 commission?

Why was no suspicion aroused by the meeting between the head of Pakistan's Inter Services Intelligence (ISI), General Mahmoud Ahmed, and top Washington officials, including CIA Chief George Tenet, the week prior to 9/11?



Considering the **ISI's ties with both the CIA and Al-Qaeda** (the **Al-Qaeda** network essentially being created with **funding and training from the CIA and ISI**) surely this meeting should have been given scrutiny.

Moreover, **Wall Street Journal** reports that **General Ahmed ordered \$100,000 to be wired to alleged lead hijacker Mohamed Atta** were not thought worthy of any more investigation.

In fact, this very issue was approached by a reporter during a Condoleezza Rice Press Conference at the White House, however, by replacing the reporter's question with "(inaudible)" in the transcript given to the press it was quickly prevented from reaching the masses any time soon.

Why, was there no suspicion aroused by the hasty removal of 99.7% — around 90,000 tons — of the steel from Ground Zero.

The largest terrorist attack in history was quickly followed by the largest destruction of a Crime Scene the world has ever witnessed. This very act was itself a crime under Federal Law.



"We are literally treating the steel removed from the site like garbage, not like crucial fire scene evidence...The destruction and removal of evidence must stop immediately."

Fire Engineering Magazine